

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,653	09/03/2003	Daniel J. Cook	14/1454US	8300
22822 I EWIS RICE	7590 08/16/2007 & FINGERSH LC		EXAMINER	
LEWIS, RICE & FINGERSH, LC ATTN: BOX IP DEPT.			EREZO, DARWIN P	
500 NORTH BROADWAY SUITE 2000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST LOUIS, MO	ST LOUIS, MO 63102		3731	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	H					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/656,653	COOK, DANIEL J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Darwin P. Erezo	3731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 June 2007</u>. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-18,20-23 and 45-48 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-18,20-23 and 45-48 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/656,653 Page 2

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election with traverse of Species I in the reply filed on 6/4/07 is acknowledged. However, the election is incomplete because the applicant had not elected a single specie from each of the grouped species, as required in page 3, paragraph 2 of the Restriction Requirement. Furthermore, the examiner has found an additional specie in the application that would require another election. Thus, the previous restriction requirement is withdrawn in view of this modified restriction requirement.
- 2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:
 - a. The applicant is required to elect a single front portion specie from the following:
 - i. Species I, as shown in Fig. 3, is directed towards a laryngeal mask having a recessed front portion.
 - ii. Species II, as shown in Fig. 9, is directed towards a laryngeal mask having a horizontal runner.
 - b. The applicant is further required to elect a single distal lumen specie from the following:
 - iii. Species III, as disclosed in paragraph [0053] of the specification, is directed towards a distal lumen having an upper portion and a lower portion with the same diameter.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,653

Art Unit: 3731

- iv. Species IV, as disclosed in paragraph [0052] of the specification, is directed towards a distal lumen having an upper portion and a lower portion with different diameters, such as keyhole pattern (Fig. 1-3).
- c. The applicant is further required to elect a single specie from the following:
 - v. Species V, as shown in Fig. 8, is directed towards a single distal lumen with no additional lumens.
 - vi. Species VI, as shown in Fig. 1, is directed towards a single distal lumen with additional lumens.
- 3. Each of the species in the categories above are independent or distinct because the inventions as claimed are mutually exclusive; are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed have materially different design.
- 4. Again, the applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single specie from each of the category above. Once the applicant has elected a single specie from each category, any non-elected specie must be withdrawn. The application contains a multitude of independent claims that mix and match the species in an attempt to get broader coverage. However, the applicant is only allowed a single specie from each category for examination. Thus, if the applicant elects Species I, III, and V, then any claims reciting Species II, IV and VI (even in combination with some of the elected species) must be withdrawn.

Currently, at least independent claims 33-35 and 40 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

Application/Control Number: 10/656,653

Art Unit: 3731

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Darwin P. Erezo whose telephone number is (571) 272-4695. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jackie Ho can be reached on (571) 272-4696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Darwin P. Erezo/ Examiner Art Unit 3731 Page 5

de